



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_45150
Title of the Manuscript:	
	Visual Impairments in the Pediatric Population: Cataracts
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract, first paragraph needs for some references.</p> <p>Abstract and introduction are exactly the same text. This is not right. Please use a regularly structured abstract, or just a shortened description about the aim of this paper, mentioning some interesting details.</p> <p>Some typing error are presented in the manuscript, for example in line 17: 'â€œethorough'.</p> <p>This is a short, logically structured review about the pediatric cataract. After some improvements and fixing the reference issues and rewriting of the abstract, it is worthy for publication.</p>	<p>Reference has been added to the Abstract</p> <p>Introduction has been removed. There is no duplicate paragraph any longer.</p> <p>Typing errors have been corrected (example line #17)</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues with this manuscript