



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_40561
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Central Corneal Thickness using Anterior Segment Optical Coherence Tomography and Ultrasound Pachymetry in patients with open angle glaucoma.
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
-What are the physics and rational behind the fact that US and light waves travel differently in corneas with different hysteresis and biomechanics? Please elaborate -Abstract conclusion is still confusing, it is comparable , yet statistically higher ???? -Is fig 1 a courtesy of the authors , if yes , what is the details of this patient -There is no convincing rational that make CCT measurement in POAG patients different using two instrument , that didn't differ in normal population or opaque corneas(with different corneal biomechanics too.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Nehal Samy El Gendy</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Ophthalmology, Cairo University, Egypt</i>