



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_40049
Title of the Manuscript:	Keratoconus Plus (KC-Plus): A New Term Proposed by an Egyptian Research Team to Treat Keratoconus
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- The authors stated that "They recommended the following terms" and they explained the proposed terms one by one. So they should remove the following statements: "Furthermore, they recommended the term" and "In addition" and "Furthermore, they recommended the use of certain abbreviations for CXL protocol nomenclature at they recommended a protocol abbreviation" - The authors should use the term of "ophthalmologists" instead of the term of "professors"	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ugur Acar
Department, University & Country	World Eye Hospital, Turkey