



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_39991
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical outcomes of Tetraflex accommodative intraocular lens implantation 2 years after cataract surgery for presbyopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1: the lack of a control group devaluates the outcome of the results. We don't know if we apply the same research parameters on a none accommodative IOL what outcome would we achieve? 2: complicated and confusing sentences (example Line 32-34). Needs language assistance 3: contradicting data Line 91 (16 eye) in the discussion line 186 (14 eyes)	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hesham Ali Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Department of Ophthalmology, Alexandria University, Egypt