



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                            |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</a>                                                           |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_OR_39923                                                                                                                |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Decreased expression of Cytosolic Phospholipase A2 in normal conjunctiva may be contributing to the formation of pterygium |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                                                                                  |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | The authors present an interesting study. One main limitation is that the normal conjunctiva tissue seems to have been taken from the same eye in another area. This may cause a bias in the statistical analysis. It would have been better to consider a different sample of normal eyes, and not simply a different area of the diseased eye. The authors may have considered conjunctival biopsy in eyes from different normal subjects without pterygium undergoing different surgery (i.e. during cataract surgery, vitrectomy, etc). Both samples taken from the same eye needs to be stated as a main limit in the study. |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | The authors can comment on how these finding can have an impact on day-to-day clinical practice and future studies in this field.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | The study is simple, planned well and nicely presented. It has potential of being of clinical interest.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                               |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                          |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | W. Marco Zeppieri                        |
| Department, University & Country | Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria, Italy |