



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                      |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</a>                                     |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_OR_39882                                                                                          |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Revised Technique of Four - Point Scleral Fixated Intraocular Lens: Visual Outcome and Complications |
| Type of the Article      | Short Research Article                                                                               |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The abstract needs rewriting as it lacks consistency.</li> <li>- In patients and methods section: on describing the technique of introducing the prolene suture from one side to another there was no mention that u receives it on the other side on a different hour. Only when looking at the graph that the idea became clear. Also there was no explanation why the authors thought this technique was better than the previous one.</li> <li>- In surgical technique: the local anaesthesia used does not specify whether it was topical or peri or retrobulbar anaesthesia.</li> <li>- A single method of reporting visual acuity is sufficient.</li> <li>- Why was the increased IOP called ocular hypertension.</li> <li>- Why was the rate of increased IOP high?</li> <li>- Remove the parenthesis from In a study on "Comparison of sutured versus sutureless scleral-fixated intraocular lenses", in the first paragraph of discussion.</li> </ul> |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                               |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                   |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Engy m Mostafa                                    |
| Department, University & Country | Ophthalmology Department, Sohag University, Egypt |