SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_OR_39323**
Title of the Manuscript:	**AMETROPIA OF THE CHILD: REVIEW OF 432 FILES**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the results and tables, 'n' means number of patients or number of eyes? If it means patient, how did you define a patient with no abnormality in one eye and any abnormality on the other eye? How did you define astigmatism, i.e., was a 0,5 D of cylindric error grouped into the astigmatism group?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Good review and data in the topic. English is requiring improvement.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Gabor Nemeth***
Department, University & Country	***Borsod-Abaúj-Zemplén County Central Hospital and University Teaching Hospital, Hungary***