

The Pathogenesis of Index Myopia in Hyperglycemia in Type 2 Diabetes: A Review

ABSTRACT

Elevated blood glucose or hyperglycemia occasioned by Diabetes Mellitus (DM), compromises the index of refraction of the crystalline lens, due to the osmotic difference between the lens materials and the aqueous medium of the anterior chamber of the eye. Under this circumstance there is a movement of fluid to either direction depending on the concentration gradient in both media. When there is movement out of the lens, into the aqueous, the refractive index of the lens increases, and this situation also causes a sudden change in the refractive power of the lens due to the concentration gradient of both media, leading to index myopia, while a decrease leads to index hyperopia. However, the induced myopia from this sudden fluctuation in the refractive power of the crystalline lens reverses shortly after a prolonged treatment and control of the hyperglycemia, with a combination of hypoglycemic drugs and diet therapy by the physician. The issuance of spectacle prescription during these fluctuations is suspended until reversal.

Key words:

Aqueous humor; Crystalline lens; Diabetes mellitus; Hyperglycemia; Index myopia; Index hyperopia; Refractive index.

ABBREVIATIONS:

DKA: Diabetic ketoacidosis.

DM: Diabetic mellitus.

mg/dL: Milligrams per deciliter.

1.0. INTRODUCTION:

The aim of this paper is to review the scientific literature relating to refractive changes in index myopia, associated with hyperglycemia in DM and to appraise its significance and implications to the practice of optometry.

According to American Diabetes Association (2014) [1], Hyperglycemia is technically high blood glucose, which happens when the body has too little insulin or when the body can't use insulin properly. It is a serious health problem for diabetics, although one may or may not be diabetic to have hyperglycemia. There are two types of hyperglycemia: fasting and postprandial hyperglycemia.

39 Hyperglycemia can also lead to diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) or hyperglycemic hyperosmolar
40 nonketotic syndrome. There are a variety of causes of hyperglycemia in people with diabetes [2].
41 Upward variation in blood sugar could result, due to hyperglycemia or DM. In fasting blood sugar, a
42 range between 100 – 126 milligrams/deciliter (mg/dL) is considered hyperglycemia; if it's chronically
43 elevated above a certain level above 126mg/dl for a fasting sugar, then it is diabetes [1].

44
45 Diabetes is a group of metabolic and systemic diseases in which a person develops hyperglycemia
46 (elevated blood sugar), either because the body does not produce enough insulin, or because cells do
47 not respond to the insulin that is produced [3]. According to the American Diabetes Association recent
48 position statement "Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus", DM is clinically divided into two
49 major types; Type 1 (formally termed insulin-dependent) and Type 2 (formally termed non-insulin
50 dependent) [4]. Others are: Gestational diabetes and specific types of diabetes due to other causes,
51 e.g., monogenic diabetes syndromes, diseases of the exocrine pancreas, and drug or chemical-
52 induced diabetes [4]. The classification system went on to include evidence that DM was an
53 etiologically and clinically heterogeneously group of disorders that share hyperglycemia in common
54 [4]. Type 1 DM is the direct consequence of irreversible pancreatic beta-cell destruction in an
55 autoimmune attack by the body, leading to complete dependence on insulin created externally from
56 the patient. Although common in children and young adult, may occur at any age [5]. The traditional
57 paradigms of type 2 diabetes occurring only in adults and type 1 diabetes only in children are no
58 longer accurate, as both diseases occur in both cohorts. Occasionally, patients with type 2 diabetes
59 may present with (DKA) [6]. Children with type 1 diabetes typically present with the hallmark
60 symptoms of acute polydipsia (excessive thirst), polyuria (increase in urine volume and frequency)
61 polyphagia (excessive hunger), weight loss [7], and approximately one-third with DKA.

62
63 Type 2 diabetes, previously referred to as "non-insulin-dependent diabetes" or "adult-onset diabetes,"
64 encompasses individuals who have insulin resistance and usually relative (rather than absolute)
65 insulin deficiency. Type 2 DM is the most common form of DM [8], it is insidious in onset, and
66 asymptomatic [4]. The main causes of Type 2 DM are a reduction in insulin secretion, a resistance to
67 insulin action or a combination of both [8, 4], and the relative contribution of these two factors varies
68 among patient. [8]. It is suggested that insulin resistance occurs as a result of altered mitochondrial
69 function through the electron transport chain [9]. The development of type 2 DM, though with
70 unknown specific etiology, is characterized by a progressive deterioration of glucose tolerance over
71 several years and its pathogenesis is by 4 major metabolic abnormalities: obesity with some degree of
72 insulin resistance, impaired insulin action, insulin secretory dysfunction and increased endogenous
73 glucose output. Commonly, the age of onset for Type 2 DM occurs in the middle age group. This
74 group may not require insulin treatment to survive initially, and often throughout their lifetime [4]. Thus,
75 insulin secretion is defective in these patients and insufficient to compensate for insulin resistance,
76 which may improve with weight reduction and/or pharmacological treatment of hyperglycemia, but is
77 seldom restored to normal. Type 2 diabetes is often associated with a strong genetic influence within
78 the family lineage.

79
80 DM affects several organs of the body as well as the oculo-visual apparatus of the eye in several
81 forms. It is a leading cause of visual impairment and blindness [10]. These include: Diabetic
82 retinopathy, macula edema, diabetic cataract, glaucoma, extra-ocular muscle palsy and iris changes
83 [10, 11]. There are also, optic neuropathy, iridocyclitis, rubeosis iridis etc, in juvenile diabetes [12]. In
84 uncontrolled diabetes, a general fluctuation in vision could be experienced. The nature of fluctuation
85 depends on the status of the underlying DM and the ocular structure involved. It has long been known
86 that patients suffering from DM may experience transient visual blur. This is usually caused by
87 changes in their refraction [13], although neural factors may also be involved [14]. Blurred vision due
88 to a variation in blood glucose, is a well-known complication of diabetes mellitus. It has been
89 suggested that the predominant cause of refractive changes during hyperglycemia is a change in the
90 shape and/or the refractive index of the lens [15]. Then further documentation showed that

91 fluctuations in refractive power often accompany changing blood glucose levels [15,16,17]; however,
92 the nature and the aetiology of refractive fluctuations in DM is poorly understood.

93
94 There is alteration of the refractive systems, in form of index myopia. It is an induced or acquired
95 refractive error which occurs due to sudden changes in the refractive index of the intraocular lens.
96 Investigators have observed myopic [16] refractive shift in diabetic patients, which have been related
97 to changing plasma glucose concentration. If undiagnosed, or in a state of hyperglycemia, it could
98 become more myopic [13]. Index myopia is the temporary or transient myopia in hyperglycemia,
99 mostly in type 2 DM. It is a sudden shift in the refractive condition of the eye from emmetropia or
100 hyperopia towards myopia, induced by a change in the refractive index of the crystalline lens, due to
101 DM, as the major underlying etiology [18]. However, it has been suggested that after treatment of the
102 dysregulated DM the refraction will change towards more hyperopia or less myopia [19].

103
104 Other types of induced myopia could result from the exposure to various pharmaceutical agents,
105 transient myopic shift induced by inflammation and inflammatory diseases [20], transient myopia
106 following (blunt) trauma, transient myopia in systemic tuberculosis [21] and other anomalous
107 conditions [22]. Another lens complication that is related to DM is the early development of cataract,
108 which is associated with index or transient myopia, present as juvenile cataract. It is the true diabetic
109 cataract or snowflake cataract [23]. It consists of widespread bilateral subcapsular lens opacities of
110 abrupt onset and acute progression, affecting the anterior and posterior cortical layer of the lens,
111 typically in young people with uncontrolled diabetes mellitus. This is rare and may be initial
112 presentation of diabetes [24]. Age-related cataract (nuclear, cortical, and posterior sub-capsular
113 cataract) presents as nuclear sclerosis of the crystalline lens, or other anomalous conditions which
114 induces the most common form of index myopia. This is probably caused by changes (increase) in
115 symmetrical refractive index within the nucleus of the crystalline lens, causing negative spherical
116 aberration and a myopic shift occurring in old people [25]. Studies suggest that approximately half of
117 patients with nuclear cataract have a significant myopic shift and a quarter of patients with cortical
118 cataract have a significant astigmatic shift. Given the high prevalence of age related cataract, the
119 refractive error induced by nuclear and cortical cataract is likely to be a major cause of the
120 uncorrected refractive error in the elderly [26]. The change in the refractive index of the lens in the
121 aged, with a slight swelling, is accountable for the cases of so-called second sight. Index myopia of
122 the lens in the aged, however, as it is well known, is usually followed in a short time by formation of a
123 cataract, so that second sight, as a rule, ends in no sight at all. There are a few rare exceptions to this
124 rule, where the second sight is retained for years. Myopia in the aged, as a result of the increase in
125 the refractive index of the lens, may be of rather large amount, Landesberg having reported as high
126 as 10D. Fuchs 9D, Herrnhaiser 7D, Weeks 6D, while many other cases of lesser amount have been
127 recorded [27].

128
129 Patients with induced myopia report blurred distance vision [28]. The time course of the distance blur
130 depends upon the agent or the condition that has induced the myopia. Whether other symptoms are
131 present depends upon the cause of the induced myopia. Although this condition is often temporary
132 and reversible, the treatment depends upon the causative agent. This treatment may involve
133 preventing future exposure to the agent, (example in cholinergic pharmaceutical agent-induced
134 myopia), referral to an appropriate practitioner for additional testing and treatment, (like in refractive
135 shifts thought to be due to changes in blood glucose level or nuclear sclerosis of the lens that has
136 advanced to a stage indicating cataract extraction) or other treatment appropriate for the particular
137 causative agent [28].

138 139 **2.0. LITERATURE REVIEW**

140 141 **2.1. Epidemiology**

142 The prevalence of DM in people over the age of 20 years globally was estimated to be 171 million
143 during the year 2000, and was projected to rise by almost three-fold in the year 2030, due to
144 population growth, increased life expectancy and altered diets and living [18]. Type 2 diabetes
145 accounts for 90–95% of all diabetes [4]. This is an indication that the number of diabetic patients
146 attending optometric clinic is also likely to increase significantly over the next two decades. Acute
147 hyperglycemia is associated with myopic refraction, but refraction becomes less myopic (or even
148 hyperopic) with lowering in the levels of glycemia [17]. Myopia declines somewhat in population over
149 age 45 years, reaching an average of about 20 percent in 65 year olds and decreasing to as low as
150 an average of about 14 percent of persons in their seventies, from the Beaver Dam, the Baltimore and
151 the Framingham Offspring Eye Studies [29]. This pattern of change shows that the prevalence of
152 myopia appears to decrease because of an intrinsic age-related decrease in the amount of an
153 individual's myopia rather than because of a cohort effect of increasing prevalence over time [29].

154
155 Documentations indicated that fluctuations in refractive power often accompany changing blood
156 glucose [15, 17]. Epidemiological studies (Barbados Eye Study and Los Angeles Latino Eye Study)
157 revealed that DM was an independent risk factor for the development of moderate myopia (> -3 D) [30]
158 and low-grade myopia (< -1 D) [31]. Poor metabolic control of DM has also been suggested as a risk
159 factor for myopia [32]. In contrast, in other population studies (Beaver Dam Eye Study, a rural South
160 Indian population) the presence of DM was related to an increased shift towards hyperopia [33].

161
162 In a population of adults aged 16-66 years, Fledelius [34] found that the frequency of myopia among
163 diabetes and non-diabetic Danish adults, showed a significantly higher prevalence of myopia among
164 diabetic compared to non-diabetic subjects (38% in diabetics versus 27.5% in non-diabetics). In a
165 further study of metabolically stable diabetics and controls, 40% of the diabetics and 22% of the non-
166 diabetics had myopia, with an onset after the age of 20 years [35]. The mean refractive values of the
167 two groups of diabetic and non-diabetic myopic adults were -2.00 D and -3.00 D respectively, but late-
168 onset myopia was more prevalent among diabetic patients (40.0% compared to 22.5% in non-
169 diabetics) [35].

170 In the beginning of hyperglycemia, hyperopia occurrence is observed for a short time because of
171 decrease in lens volume, and myopia occurs when lens volume starts to increase. When blood
172 glucose level reduces rapidly, hyperopia is seen related with decrease in lens thickness and refractive
173 index. After regulation of blood glucose level, lens thickness decreases and hyperopia is seen [15].
174 Surface curvatures are greater in eyes of diabetics than in normal, but the equivalent refractive index
175 is lower so that lenticular powers are similar [36]. Furthermore, DM was not associated with a shift in
176 ocular refraction in other epidemiological studies (Andhra Pradesh Eye Disease Study, Blue
177 Mountains Eye Study) [37].

178

179 **2.2. Pathophysiology**

180 The nature and the etiology of refractive fluctuations in DM is poorly understood. However, several
181 authors have advanced several factors and mechanisms. The composition of the aqueous humor
182 depends on the nature of the freshly secreted fluid, the subsequent passive and active solute
183 exchanges across adjacent tissues, and the rate of exit from the eye [38]. The metabolic requirements
184 of tissues such as the cornea, lens, and trabecular meshwork are met by continuous flow of aqueous
185 through the posterior and anterior chambers. Diffusional and metabolic alterations of the aqueous
186 occur constantly. The lens alters the aqueous by using glucose, amino acid, and other solute;
187 releases metabolic products such as lactic acid, and may act as a homeostatic reservoir for amino
188 acid [38]. The glucose of the aqueous humor varies directly with the glucose of the blood. As the
189 concentration of glucose in the aqueous humor increases, the glucose level within the crystalline lens
190 also increases, because the intracellular glucose in the lens is not regulated by insulin [39]. The
191 glucose in the lens is metabolized via the sorbitol pathway, which consists of two enzymes (aldose
192 reductase and sorbitol dehydrogenase) which catalyze the conversion of glucose into its sugar

193 alcohol sorbitol and the further conversion of sorbitol to fructose. These sugar alcohol tend to
194 accumulate within the lens fibers, because they are membrane impermeable. Consequently, an
195 osmotic gradient between the hypertonic lens and the aqueous humor is built up, resulting in an influx
196 of water from the aqueous humor, producing lenticular swelling. This may lead to a decrease in the
197 radius of curvature and equivalent refractive index of the lens, resulting in changes in ocular refractive
198 power [39,19].
199

200 In terms of osmolality, the aqueous humour in a number of mammalian species is slightly hyper
201 osmotic to plasma [40]. The concentration of glucose in the aqueous is approximately 80% of that in
202 the plasma. Glucose likely enters the aqueous by simple diffusion from the plasma. The alpha and
203 gamma lens crystallins normally are present in small amounts in the aqueous humor of eyes with
204 clear lenses. The concentration of these lens crystallins increases in eyes with cataract, consistent
205 with the hypothesis that these proteins leak from the lens [41]. In the anterior chamber, a sudden
206 change or alteration of the refractive index due to this glucose elevation, mostly affects the cornea,
207 crystalline lens, and the aqueous humor. The interplay between the high concentration of sugar (hyper
208 tonic) in the aqueous humor of the anterior chamber and the refractive index of the crystalline lens
209 is a contributing factor to the cause of index myopia. When sudden myopia occurs in diabetes, the
210 lens loses water because of the high level of blood sugar in the anterior chamber; therefore its index
211 of refraction increases [42].
212

213 According to an early author Duke Elder [13], the refractive changes in diabetes were due to
214 alterations in the power of the lens, because of osmotic interactions between the lens and aqueous.
215 The mechanisms underlying changes in the shape of the lens with accompanying shifts in refractive
216 power in myopia during hyperglycemia, may be due to the hydration of the lens due to salt retention,
217 occasioned by osmotic changes [39]. However, the profound increase in lens dimensions with DM
218 could be due to an accelerated growth of the lens, but it could also be caused by osmotic swelling of
219 the lens, either as a result of an increase in cell membrane permeability or deficient ion pumping [39].
220 This laid credence therefore, that hyperglycemia could cause a change in the refractive index of the
221 lens [17].
222

223 Later writers had suggested that intralenticular osmotic pressure increased during hyperglycemia, as
224 glucose and its metabolic products especially those derived from the sorbitol pathway, accumulated
225 within the lens [18]. The resultant influx of water has been used to explain either a myopic or a
226 hyperopic change, according to whether shape or refractive index, was considered more important in
227 determining overall refractive power [18]. Others are of the opinion that a myopic shift with decreasing
228 blood glucose concentration in diabetes could be explained by the accumulation of metabolites such
229 as sorbitol [39,43]. It has been suggested that the predominant cause of the refractive changes is a
230 change in the thickness of the lens which promote myopic changes through increase in refractive
231 power [43, 44] or shape of the lens, and/or a change in its refractive index [45]. It is often assumed
232 that a change in the refractive index of the lens could play a role in explaining the refractive changes
233 in patients with DM [45]; however Furushima et al [46], found an increase in lens thickness of 1 mm
234 and a myopic shift of -2 diopters. Kato et al [45], reported a significant increase in lens thickness (0.3
235 mm) after rapid control of hyperglycemia. There seem to be no agreement on the exact cause of
236 refractive change in unstable diabetes. In several studies that investigated the effect of hyperglycemia
237 on refraction, it was reported that refractive changes in patients with chronic DM were caused by
238 alterations in the lens [47], but the exact contribution of the cornea to these refractive changes is still
239 unknown [47].
240

241 Index myopia occurs due to sudden changes in the refractive index of the lens. In uncontrolled
242 diabetes, a general fluctuation in vision due to changes in refractive power of the lens by as much as
243 3 or 4 Diopters could be experienced, and this results in blurred vision. Such changes do not occur
244 when the disease is well controlled. The blood glucose concentration is regulated within narrow limits

245 in healthy individuals, but these limits are disrupted in patients with diabetes [48]. It is mostly
246 witnessed in two pathologic states, diabetes and cataract. In diabetes the lens loses water because of
247 the high level of blood sugar in the anterior chamber, and therefore its index of refraction increases. In
248 the cataract patient, the lens becomes increasingly hard because of the constant lamination of lens
249 fibers being pushed to the center of the lens. The hard inner core increases the index of refraction of
250 the entire lens structure, thereby increasing the converging power [42]. Myopic refractive shifts with
251 changing blood glucose, could result from morphological changes in the cornea, crystalline lens, axial
252 length or retinal thickness [15]. Index myopia may be caused by an increase in the refractive index of
253 the cornea, aqueous, or the lens; or to a decrease in the refractive index of the vitreous [27]. Well
254 authenticated cases of any considerable amount of myopia due to an increase in the refractive index
255 of the aqueous humour have not been reported. Parsons [27], stated that to produce myopia of 1.50
256 D. to 2.0 D would require that the aqueous have an index equal to that of the cornea. "The myopia of
257 diabetes cannot be explained by increase in the aqueous index. The aqueous would have to contain
258 20 percent of sugar in order that its refractive index is raised to that of the cornea, a result which
259 would only cause myopia of 1.50 D in a previously emmetropic eye" [27].

260

261 Sudden changes in refraction are a well-recognized feature of hyperglycemia. These refractive
262 changes tend to be of a low magnitude and present during adulthood. A tendency towards myopia
263 has always been associated with elevations in blood glucose [19]. The development of refractive
264 abnormalities in response to hyperglycemia is characterized by rapid onset, followed by prolonged
265 regression [49]. In evaluating refractive disorders in patients with DM, Furushima [50], observed that
266 the blood glucose returned to normalcy, with reversal of myopia towards hyperopia. Recovery of the
267 refractive error back to baseline without any more fluctuation takes about approximately twice as long
268 with oral hypoglycemic medication as with insulin [51]. This suggests that the hyperopia indicated a
269 recovery of the myopic changes accompanying the reversal of hyperglycemia.

270

271 **3.0. DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS**

272 A differential diagnosis for the establishment of a tentative diagnosis of index myopia due to
273 hyperglycemia, considering the common features of blur vision in diabetic retinopathy, diabetic
274 cataract, index hyperopia, and index myopia.

275 Diabetic retinopathy exhibits a miotic pupil [52], while significantly smaller pupils were found in people
276 with proliferative diabetic retinopathy [18]. There are vascular changes to the retina, which are the
277 most common effect of DM with subsequent loss of vision.

278 In diabetic cataract, the change in vision is slow and it takes a little time for the sclerosis of the lens
279 nucleus, lens opacification and lens thickening to occur [53]. True diabetic cataract similar in
280 appearance to nuclear sclerosis cataracts [54] and frequent in older middle-aged patients with type 1
281 and type 2 DM [55] has early symptoms of persistent refractive changes, glare, and monocular
282 diplopia or polyopia, slightly more permanent and may end up requiring cataract surgery [56].

283 A hyperopic shift in refractive error in DM is most likely to be due to Intensive glycemic control with
284 insulin. The development of hyperopia or the reversal of myopia has been correlated with a fallen
285 blood sugar, usually associated with treatment of hyperglycemia and especially if this is rapid [13].
286 Hyperopic change in diabetes occurs almost exclusively in those patients receiving insulin [57]. In
287 index hyperopia, recovery of the refractive error back to baseline without further fluctuating, takes
288 about 6-10 weeks when treated with insulin.

289 Index myopia is presented with a blur at far [28], correctable with a tentative concave spherical lens.
290 The refractive changes are too short, sudden and transient especially at far [19]. In index myopia, the
291 refractive power of the eye tends to vary directly with the blood sugar; with increased sugar to myopia
292 [1]. Its reversal with a fallen blood sugar is usually associated with treatment of hyperglycemia. The

293 refractive error back to baseline without further fluctuation takes about approximately twice as long
294 with oral hypoglycemic medication as with insulin [58].

295

296 **4.0. RISK FACTORS TO INDEX MYOPIA**

297

298 **4.1. Direct /Major Risk Factor**

299

300 HYPERGLYCEMIA: Predisposition to hyperglycemia, especially in type 2 DM can lead to index
301 myopia.

302

303 **4.2. Indirect Risk Factors**

304

305 GENETICS AND FAMILY HISTORY: One may be predisposed to hyperglycemia in DM, If a parent or
306 sibling has the condition.

307

308 AGE: There is an association between increase in age and the risk of hyperglycemia.

309

310 EMOTIONAL STRESS: Hyperglycemia can be triggered by work place fatigue and family conflict.

311

312 RACE: People of certain race including blacks and Hispanics, are at risk of developing hyperglycemia
313 than others.

314

315 OBESITY: Overweight predisposes one to obesity, which is a risk factor to hyperglycemia.

316

317 DIET: Poor dietary and eating plan affect blood sugar level.

318

319 INACTIVITY: Sedentary/physical inactivity elevates blood sugar level.

320

321 LIFESTYLE: Poor compliance with a healthy lifestyle influences hyperglycemia.

322

323 HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE: Hyperglycemia could occur due to poor management of high blood
324 pressure.

325

326 MEDICATION: Lack of proper and adequate oral anti-hyperglycemic medications, could raise
327 hyperglycemia.

328

329 STEROID: Excessive use of medications like steroids can raise the blood sugar.

330

331 CHOLESTEROL: Abnormal cholesterol and triglyceride levels trigger hyperglycemia.

332

333 ENVIRONMENT: Some environmental conditions are risk factors to hyperglycemia.

334

335

336 **5.0. CONTROL, MANAGEMENT AND TREATMENT OF INDEX MYOPIA**

337

338 **5.1. Prevention:**

339 In Index myopia, careful monitoring and control of elevated blood glucose is the key to the prevention
340 and control [58]. In addition; regular glucose check, moderation and adjustment in diet, changes in
341 lifestyle and regular exercises could prevent it. Type 2 DM is milder than in Type 1, therefore
342 controlled primarily by diet, weight reduction, regular exercises and oral hypoglycemic agents [59],

343 although insulin may also be required when diet and oral drug therapy fail to induce blood glucose
344 homeostasis.

345

346 **5.2. Inter Specialty Referrals:**

347 The need for Inter specialty referrals cannot be over emphasized. Optometrists and ophthalmologists
348 are under obligation to refer patients with index myopia to the physicians or endocrinologists for
349 further medical attention and management, vis-à-vis in suspected type 2 DM. The treatment of Index
350 myopia is based on the understanding of its pathogenesis, as a complication from hyperglycemia in
351 DM, and its transient and reversible nature. Furthermore, the understanding of The American
352 Diabetes Association's 2017 guideline [60] on the management of diabetes, which includes
353 psychological health, access to care, expanded and personalized treatment options, and the tracking
354 of hypoglycemia in people with diabetes, can only be adequately achieved through referrals to the
355 experts. However other allied or associated professionals (dieticians, counselors etc), competent in
356 exploring modern options in handling DM, could play an effective role in other aspects of management
357 like: counseling, dieting and lifestyle modifications as well as hypoglycemic medications.

358

359 **5.3. Control Using Hypoglycemic Agents:**

360 The reversal of hyperglycemia reverses the refractive fluctuations, which in turn normalizes vision.
361 The control of elevated blood sugar is achieved through the use of single or combination of different
362 oral hypoglycemic drugs, which dosage is determined by the range of the fasting blood sugar, and
363 administered by the physician. This control subsequently reverses the transient visual fluctuations,
364 which translates to the reversal, management and control of index myopia, with a favorable visual
365 outcome. Most times, and depending on the type of diabetes, combination of drug therapy with
366 modification in basic life style and risk factors are very paramount in the management plan for index
367 myopia. However in type 1 diabetes, or in the failure of oral anti hyperglycemic drug in the control of
368 type 2 DM, insulin could be used for treatment.

369

370 **5.4. Counseling and Education:**

371 Counseling and education are very important elements in the explanation and handling of the patients'
372 general burden and specific ocular peculiarities associated with DM. They play a key role in dousing
373 the anxiety during hyperglycemic induced myopia. The importance of counseling, especially on the
374 essence of keeping to anti hyperglycemic drugs as prescribed, dieting, weight reduction programs
375 and regular exercises cannot be over emphasized. They explain the effects of possible fluctuations in
376 vision and eventual outcome, even after reversal; especially if patients do not keep to medications
377 and diet. Patients with induced myopia could benefit enormously from counseling and education on
378 the agents, risk factors or conditions inducing the myopia, and the nature or directions of the changes
379 occurring in the eye. They enlighten the patients on the timeline of the induced myopia, whether
380 temporary or long standing; its reversal and prevention [28]. Counseling further touches on the
381 sudden fluctuations in vision, its transient changes and its tentativeness. Patients should be
382 counseled on possible future reoccurrence of induced myopia and the appropriate measures to be
383 taken. The need for regular Patients' education on the importance of keeping to clinical appointments
384 with the Physicians and Optometrists is crucial. This goes a long way in checking the possible
385 fluctuations in blood sugar and vision respectively, as well as maintaining normalcy. Consistency with
386 prescription drugs as prescribed and directed by the experts, judicious and strict adherence to drug
387 adjustments, modification of dietary patterns and weight reduction plans could be achieved through
388 counseling.

389

390 **5.5. Clinical Judgment and Decision on Tentative Concave Correction:**

391 The question of issuing a spectacle prescription during index myopia should not be encouraged. The
392 eye care practitioner may delay prescribing spectacles until the refractive error stabilizes, which
393 generally occurs when the patient's hyperglycemia is better controlled, otherwise there might be a
394 distrust of medical care [15]. However, in certain circumstances or special situations a tentative

395 spectacle prescription with adjustments may be issued in transient myopic change, and further
396 modifications in the prescription may be needed when the refraction returns to normalcy or stabilizes
397 [15]. This should be at the discretion of the eye care practitioner after extensive counseling and
398 explanation to the patient, on the possible fluctuations and eventual outcome after reversal, which
399 may be temporary. The justification for such issuance should also be guided by the patient's
400 insistence on the spectacle acquisition, probable job demand, visual discomfort, social standing,
401 acceptance of the legal and ethical implications and acceptance of overall cost implication. This
402 decision also calls for regular patient's counseling due to possible future outcome.

403

404

405 **6.0. THE CONTROVERSY ON THE DIRECTION OF THE REFRACTIVE SHIFT IN** 406 **HYPERGLYCEMIA (MYOPIA OR HYPEROPIA)**

407

408 Several authors have come out with varying opinions on the actual direction of refractive shift in
409 hyperglycemia in DM, whether myopia or hypermetropia. There is alteration of the refractive systems,
410 in form of index myopia and hyperopia. The controversy in the literature with regards to this refractive
411 changes during hyperglycemia and the overall refractive outcome, could be explained by this
412 underlying mechanism; of a balance between changes in the shape or the refractive index of the lens,
413 which eventually determines myopia or hyperopia. If the change in the shape of the lens is small,
414 hyperopia will predominate, due to a decrease in the refractive index of the lens. Alternatively, if the
415 change in the shape of the lens is large in comparison to the decrease in the refractive index of the
416 lens, the overall refractive error will result in myopia [45].

417

418 Index myopia and hyperopia are induced or acquired refractive errors which occur due to sudden
419 changes in the refractive index of the intraocular lens. Investigators have observed both myopic [16]
420 and hyperopic [17] refractive shifts in diabetic patients, which have been related to changing plasma
421 glucose concentration. Also, the nature of fluctuation depends on the status of the underlying DM. If
422 undiagnosed, or in a state of hyperglycemia, it could become more myopic [13], and if under intensive
423 hyperglycemic control, it could be more hyperopic [13]. Duke-Elder concluded that hyperopia is less
424 common than myopia and that the refractive power of the eye tends to vary directly as the sugar
425 content of the blood; that is, there is a tendency towards hyperopia with decreased sugar or intensive
426 glucose control, and towards myopia with elevated blood sugar [13].

427

428 Transient refractive changes, due to a variation in blood glucose, are well-known complications of DM.
429 Some researchers have observed both myopic and hyperopic changes in diabetic eyes [17]. Both
430 myopic shifts [13] and hyperopic shifts [59], have been reported in patients with DM after several
431 days or weeks of hyperglycemia. There is little doubt that these transient changes in refraction are
432 associated with variations in blood glucose levels, but the nature of the relationship between the two
433 parameters remains in doubt. Some authors claim that increased blood sugar leads to a myopic shift
434 and others that the change is in the hyperopic direction [61]. Both hypermetropic and myopic
435 refractive shifts could occur from morphological changes in the cornea, crystalline lens, axial length or
436 retinal thickness. The last two possibilities have been investigated previously, and no change in either
437 axial length or retinal thickness was found with changing blood glucose concentration in 28 eyes [15].
438 A more recent work suggested that, at least in the majority of cases, when therapy is instituted to
439 control hyperglycemia, and blood glucose falls, the refraction first changes in the hyperopic direction
440 on the timescale of a few days or weeks and then gradually returns to their baseline values on the
441 timescale of a few weeks or months [62].

442

443 However, there was a significant correlation between the maximum hyperopic change in an eye and
444 the daily rate of plasma glucose reduction over the first seven days of treatment, but not with the daily
445 rate of plasma glucose reduction over the first three days [15]. The time lag could be attributed to the
446 presence of the blood-ocular barriers. An explanation for the finding that more myopic eyes at base

447 line had a larger maximum hyperopic change, is that myopic eye have a larger volume with elongated
448 axial length and dysfunctional blood-ocular barrier; and the changes in the composition of the intra
449 ocular fluid and the differences in osmotic pressure would be greater in myopic eyes than in eyes
450 having hyperopia as a baseline refractive error [15].

451
452

453 **7.0. IMPLICATIONS OF INDEX MYOPIA TO THE OPTOMETRISTS**

454 Sudden refractive fluctuations are well-recognized features of hyperglycemia, and are phenomena
455 that often embarrass optometrists, and other eye care practitioners.

456

457 The Ophthalmologists and Optometrists should always take DM into consideration in blur vision. The
458 fact remains that DM is a common and often under-diagnosed disease that can cause blur vision,
459 especially in adult patients [63].

460

461 In a complaint of a bilateral unexpected rapid change of vision, or sudden change in the spectacle
462 prescription of a patient, the Optometrists are challenged, through their professional training to
463 suspect the possibility of undiagnosed diabetes as the cause [61].

464

465 The eye care practitioner may postpone prescribing spectacles until the refractive error stabilizes in a
466 suspected diabetes. This generally occurs when the patient's diabetes is better controlled,
467 considering that refractive changes associated with diabetes can be both acute (transient) and
468 chronic (sustained) [61].

469

470 Due to possible influence of fluctuating blood glucose, and the response of the crystalline lens to
471 refractive error changes, as a result of untreated hyperglycemia; great concerns and caution should
472 be applied by the Optometrists when carrying out eye examinations on diabetic patients [61].

473

474 It is appropriate for the eye care practitioners to enquire about blood glucose when carrying out eye
475 examinations on diabetic patients. If uncharacteristically high or low at the time of refraction, it may be
476 wise to repeat the refraction prior to prescribing; as well as advising patients about the possible
477 implications for diabetic retinopathy and other oculo-visual complications associated with the disease
478 [61].

479

480 Understanding how blood glucose can potentially affect the ocular parameters that contribute to the
481 refractive power of the eye, would help the eye care practitioners establish a relationship between
482 expected visual signs and symptoms, and periods of altering diabetic metabolic control. This will aid in
483 appropriate patients advise [61].

484

485 Eye care practitioners understand several undesirable symptoms associated with acute hypoglycemia,
486 and those associated with prolonged hypoglycemia which include more severe symptoms like visual
487 disturbances, restlessness, irritability, inability to concentrate, mental confusion, and personality
488 changes among others. Hyperglycemia however, often goes unnoticed by diabetic patients [64].

489

490

491 **8.0. CONCLUSION**

492

493 Index myopia is a sign of undiagnosed hyperglycemia in DM. It is a source of clinical concern to the
494 optometrists due to the discrepancies in clinical refraction and the referrals to the physicians, for
495 further attention.

496

497 A wide range of visual complications occur in the anterior segment of the eye in DM, mostly when
498 there is poor metabolic control. The early detection of signs and symptoms of DM is the key, in the
499 prevention of any long-lasting, reversible and irreversible damage to the ocular and refracting
500 surfaces of the eye. On the other hand, early detection of some oculo-visual anomalies, like Index
501 myopia and retinopathy, could also help in the tentative diagnosis of DM. In index myopia,

502 understanding the pathogenesis, taking a good history, conducting basic preliminary examinations,
503 skilled ophthalmoscopy, differential diagnosis, diagnostic and laboratory investigation, good clinical
504 skill, experience, and judgment; all play a good role in arriving at a diagnosis, which in turn
505 determines the medical and optometric treatment plan. The importance of collaboration between the
506 physicians and eye care providers in the treatment/management of index myopia cannot be
507 overemphasized, especially in the inter specialty referrals for further management of DM. With the
508 current oral hypoglycemic drugs, diet, and other treatment options available, a control of the
509 hyperglycemia reverses any progress that could lead to visual compromise, and possibly a reversal in
510 index myopia. The importance of counseling on the possible refractive changes with the fluctuation of
511 blood sugar over time and the need for further modifications in the spectacle prescriptions in future,
512 cannot be over emphasized.
513

514 **Ethical Approval**

515 Ethical approval and clearance for this work was obtained from the Health Research and Ethics
516 Committee of Government House Clinic, Umuahia, Abia state, Nigeria.

517

518 **REFERENCES**

- 519 1. American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care 2014; 37:Suppl1.
- 520
- 521 2. Dilgam H. Hyperglycemia: Causes, Symptoms, Treatment. Diabetes. AFDiabetics. 2017;221-2
- 522
- 523 3. Siddiqui AA, Siddiqui SA, Ahmad S, Siddiqui S, Ahsan I, Sahu K. "Diabetes: Mechanism,
524 Pathophysiology and Management-A Review." Int. J. Drug Dev. & Res. 2013;5:1-23.
- 525
- 526 4. American Diabetes Association. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes. Sec. 2. In Standards of
527 Medical Care in Diabetes -2016. Diabetes Care. 2016;39 (Suppl. 1):S13–S22.
- 528
- 529 5. American Optometric Association. Evidence-based Clinical practice guideline on Eye Care of the
530 patient with diabetes mellitus. 2014.
- 531
- 532 6. Dabelea D, Rewers A, Stafford JM, et al. Trends in the prevalence of ketoacidosis at diabetes
533 diagnosis: The SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth study Group. Pediatrics 2014;133:938-945
- 534
- 535 7. Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes
536 Care. 2003;26:S5-S20.
- 537
- 538 8. Kuzuya T. New Classification and Diagnostic Criteria of Diabetes Mellitus by the Japan Diabetic
539 Society. Asian Med. J. 2001;44(2):49–56,
- 540
- 541 9. Kaneto H, Xu G, Song KH, Suzuma K, Bonner-Weir S, Sharma A, et al. Activation of the
542 Hexosamine Pathway leads to deterioration of pancreatic beta-cell function through the induction of
543 oxidative stress. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276:31099-1104.
- 544
- 545 10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Diabetes Fact Sheet. United States. 2005.
546 Assessed 14 November 2017. Available: <http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pubs/general.htm>.
- 547
- 548 11. Eye Care of the patient with Diabetes Mellitus. Developed by AOA Evidence-based Optometry
549 clinical practice guideline. 2014.

550

551 12. Dujie MP, Ignjatovic Z. Juvenile diabetes eye complications and treatment. *Vojnosanit Pregl.*
552 2009;66:729-732.

553

554 13. Duke-Elder WS. "Changes in refraction in diabetes mellitus." *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* 1925;9:167–187.

555

556 14. Wiemer NGM, Dubbelman M, Ringens PJ, Polak BC. "Measuring the refractive properties of the
557 diabetic eye during blurred vision and hyperglycemia using aberrometry and Scheimpflug imaging,"
558 *Acta Ophthalmol. (Copenh)* 2009;87:176–182.

559

560 15. Okamoto F, Sone H, Nonoyama T, Hommura S. Refractive changes in diabetic patients during
561 intensive glycaemic control. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2000;84(10):1097-1102.

562

563 16. Giusti C. "Transient hyperopic refractive changes in newly diagnosed juvenile diabetes." *Swiss*
564 *Med Wkly.* 2003;133(13-14):200-5.

565

566 17 Sommez B, Bozkurt B, Atmaca A, Irkec M, Orhan M, Aslan U. Effect of Glycemic Control on
567 Refractive Changes in Diabetic Patients with Hyperglycemia. *Cornea.* 2005;24(5): 531-537.

568

569 18. Umezurike BC, Akhimien MO, Uma-Kalu IB, Ijioma SN, Ogwo EU, Ezekwerem CM. Pattern of
570 Annual Distribution and Prevalence of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus amongst Adult Patients at
571 Government House Clinic, Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria: Ten Years in Retrospect. *IOSR J Dent and*
572 *Med Sci.* 2017;16:91-97.

573

574 19. Wiemer NGM. *Acute Hyperglycemia and the Refractive Properties of the Eye.* Amsterdam:
575 Print Partners Ipskamp Enschede; 2008.

576

577 20. Herbort CP, Papadia M, Neri P. Myopia and inflammation. *J Ophthalmic Vis Res.* 2011;6:271-283.

578

579 21. Aslam SA, Kashani S, Morley RK. Systemic tuberculosis presenting with acute transient myopia:
580 A case report. *J Med Case Rep.* 2008;2:350.

581

582 22. Grenet T, Strehlo M, Nicolon L, Puech M, Chaine G. A case report of transient myopia following
583 blunt trauma. *J Fr Ophtalmol.* 2011;34:127.e1-4.

584

585 23. Hennis A, Wu SY, Nemesure B, Leske MC. Risk factors for incident cortical and posterior
586 subcapsular lens opacities in the Barbados Eye Studies. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2004;122:525-530.

587

588 24. Orts VP, Devesa TP, Belmonte MJ. Juvenile diabetic cataract. A rare finding that lead us to the
589 diagnosis of this illness. *Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol.* 2003;78:389-391. [Pubmed]

590

591 25. Kuroda T, Fujikado T, Maeda N, et al. Wavefront analysis of higher-order aberrations in patients
592 with cataract. *J Cataract Refract Surg. Am J Ophthalmol.* 2002;134:1–9.

593

594 26. Haegerstrom-Portnoy G, Schneck ME, Brabyn JA, et al. Development of refractive errors into old
595 age. *Optom Vis Sci.* 2002;79:643–9.

596

597 27. Davis AE. Curvature and index myopia, with Report of Cases. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc.*
598 1914;13:858-866.

599

600 28. Optometric Clinical Practice Guideline. Care of the patients with Myopia. Approved by the AOA
601 Board 2006.

- 602
603 29. Mutti DO, Zadnic K. Age – Related Decrease in the Prevalence of Myopia: Longitudinal Change or
604 Cohort Effect? *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2000;41(8):2103-7.
605
606 30. Wu SY, Yoo YJ, Nemesure B, Hennis A, Leske MC; Barbados Eye Studies Group. Nine-year
607 refractive changes in the Barbados Eye Studies. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2005;46(11):4032-9.
608
609 31. Tarczy-Hornoch K, Ying-Lai M, Varma R. Myopic refractive error in adult Latinos: the Los Angeles
610 Latino Eye Study Group. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2006;47(5):1845-52.
611
612 32. Jacobsen N, Jensen H, Lund-Andersen H, Goldschmidt E. Is poor glycaemic control in diabetic
613 patients a risk factor of myopia? *Acta Ophthalmol Scand.* 2007 Dec 12; [Epub ahead of print]
614
615 33. Raju P, Ramesh SV, Arvind H, et al. Prevalence of refractive errors in a rural South Indian
616 population. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2004;45:4268-72.
617
618 34. Fledelius HC. "Is myopia getting more frequent? A cross-sectional study of 1416 Danes aged 16
619 years+." *Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh).* 1983;61:545-59.
620
621 35. Fledelius HC. "Myopia and diabetes mellitus with special reference to adult-onset myopia." *Acta*
622 *Ophthalmol (Copenh).* 1986;64:33-8.
623
624 36. Wiemer NGM, Dubbelman M, Hermans EA, Ringens PJ, Polak BCP. "Changes in the internal
625 structure of the human crystalline lens with diabetes mellitus type 1 and type 2." *Ophthalmology.*
626 2008;115:2017–2023.
627
628 37. Guzowski M, Wang JJ, Rohtchina E, et al. Five-year refractive changes in an older population:
629 The Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Ophthalmology.* 2003;110:1364-70.
630
631 38. Garg A. Aqueous Humor composition. *Ocular therapeutics.* 3rd edn. New Delhi: Jay pee-Highlights
632 Medical publishers inc; 2013:48
633
634 39. Olansky, L. *Advances in Diabetes for the Millenium: Chronic Microvascular Complications of*
635 *Diabetes.* Medscape General Medicine. 2004;6:14.
636
637 40. Cole DF. Electrolyte composition of anterior and posterior aqueous humor in the sheep.
638 *Ophthalmol Res.* 1973;4:1.
639
640 41. Sandbarg HO, and Class O. The alpha and gamma crystalline content in aqueous humor of eyes
641 with clear lens and with cataracts. *Exp Eye Res.* 1979;28:601.
642
643 42. Stein HA, Slatt BJ. *The Ophthalmic Assistant: Fundamentals and Clinical Practice.* 4th ed. St
644 Louis: The C.V. Mosby Company; 1983.
645
646 43. Wiemer NGM. *The Influence of Diabetes Mellitus on the Refractive Properties of the Human Eye.*
647 Amsterdam;; Print Partners Ipskamp Enschede; 2008.
648
649 44. Herse P. Effects of hyperglycemia on ocular development in rabbit: Refraction and biometric
650 changes. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt.* 2005 25(2):97-104.
651
652 45. Kato S, Oshika T, Numaga J, Kawashima H, Kitano S, Kaiya T. Influence of rapid glycemic
653 control on lens opacity in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2000;130(3):354-355.

654

655 46. Furushima M, Imaizumi M, Nakatsuka K. Changes in refraction caused by induction of acute
656 hyperglycemia in healthy volunteers. *Jpn J Ophthalmol.* 1999;43:398-403.

657

658 47. Wiemer NGM, Dubbelman M, Kostense PJ, Ringens PJ, Polak BCP. The Influence of Chronic
659 Diabetes Mellitus on the Thickness and The Shape of The Anterior and Posterior Surface of The
660 Cornea. *Cornea.* 2007;26(10):1165-1170.

661

662 48. Robert KM, Daryl KG, Peter AM, Victor WR. Harper's Biochemistry. Gluconeogenesis and control
663 of the blood glucose. International Edition 25th Edition. Chapter 21. A Lange Medical Book,
664 2000:216–217.

665

666 49. Pyke DA. The eye in diabetes. In: Rose FC, ed. *Medical Ophthalmology.* London: Chapman and
667 Hall; 1976:437.

668

669 50. Furushima M, Imaizumi M, Nakatsuka K. Changes in Refraction Caused by Induction of Acute
670 Hyperglycemia in Healthy Volunteers. *Jpn J Ophthalmol.* 1999;43:398–403.

671

672 51. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year
673 2000 and projections for 2030. *Diabetes Care* 2004.

674

675 52. Smith SE, Smith SA, Brown PM, Fox C, Sonksen PH. Pupillary signs in diabetic autonomic
676 neuropathy. *Br Med J.* 1978;2:924-927.

677

678 53. Negi A, Vernon SA. An overview of the eye in diabetes. *J R Soc Med.* 2003;96:266-272.

679

680 54. Elliott DB, Pesudovs K. Variations in appearance of the normal ocular media. *Optom Today.*
681 2009;49:30-37.

682

683 55. Obrosova IG, Chung SS, Kador PF. Diabetic cataracts: mechanisms and management. *Diabetes*
684 *Metab Res Rev.* 2010;26:172-180.

685

686 56. Teitelbaum BA. Reversible "fingerprint" cataract secondary to diabetes mellitus. *Clin Eye Vis*
687 *Care.* 1998;10:81-84.

688

689 57. Caird FI, Pirie A, Ramsell TG. *Diabetes and the Eye.* Oxford: Blackwell; 1969:122-6.

690

691 58. Eva PR, Pascoe PT, Vaughan DG. Refractive change in hyperglycemia: hyperopia, not myopia.
692 *Br J Ophthalmol.* 1982;66:500-505.

693

694 59. Inzucchi SE. Oral anti-hyperglycemic therapy for type 2 diabetes: scientific review. *J Am Med*
695 *Assoc.* 2002;287:360-372.

696

697 60. American Diabetic Association. Guide to Standard of Medical Care in Diabetes. *Diabetes Care.*
698 2017;40:Suppl 1.

699

700 61. Huntjens B, O'Donnell C. "Refractive error changes in diabetes mellitus," *Optom Practice.*
701 2006;7:103–114.

702

703 62. Li HY, Luo GC, Guo J, Liang Z. "Effects of glycemic control on refraction in diabetic patients," *Int.*
704 *J. Ophthalmol.* 2010;3:158–160.

705

- 706 63. Koffler M, Raskin P, Geyer O, Yust I. Blurred vision: an overlooked initial presenting symptom of
707 insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. *Isr J Med Sci.* 1990;26(7):393-4.
708
709 64. National Diabetes Information Clearinghouse 2003. Hypoglycemia, Department of Health and
710 Human Services. National Institute of Health.