



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_44228
Title of the Manuscript:	Visual Function Outcomes in Tinted versus Non Tinted IOLs in Cataract Patients
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Group-II and GROUP 2 are the same? A lot of typing error and space error exists throughout the manuscript, so I do not think that a professional English proofreading was performed. The dissecting of the paper is wrong, for example: under 'internal incision' paragraph, a lot of text not belonging to the right place is exist. This is not a note, rather a manuscript, so do not write almost everything in a list In the discussion I can see mainly a list, I mean what was the results of this research and what was in the literature; i.e., it is without any real 'discussion'.	YES, they are the same. Typing error and space errors have been looked into to the best of our capacity please be rest assured. The issue in the paragraph "internal incision" has been looked into. Some points regarding the main ' discussion' have been added. Noted Thanking you in anticipation.