



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_43990
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of 0.1% Nevanac on macular thickness and volume after uncomplicated cataract surgery
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1- Please clarify the exact setting of this article. 2- Please clarify the certificate approval number.	1. This study was conducted at Ahi Evran University Training and Research Hospital's Eye Clinic, Kirsehir, Turkey 2. Ethical approval number: 2017-1095
Minor REVISION comments	1- Please clarify the inclusion criteria in details. 2- Please clarify the assessment of IOP, fundus examination, and others investigation in details. 3- Please clarify the procedure of phacoemulsification and IOL implantation in points. 4- Please clarify if the assessment of CMT is related to ETDRS or not. 5- Please clarify the version 20 used in statistical analysis in details. 6- Please added a table for demographic and clinical characteristics of the studied patients. 7- Conclusion should be condensed.	1. Over 18 years, Without retinal disease, Patients with a visual acuity of 0.1 or higher 2. Patients with IOP of 11-21 mmHg (goldmann aplanasyon tomometry) were included. Fundus, pupil was dilated and examined. OCT measurements were performed by dilating pupil with a visual acuity of 0.1 or higher. 3. Phaco surgery (infinity vision system, Alcon) was performed by a single physician under topical anesthesia. All patients underwent Alcon Acrysof IQ Monofocal intraocular lens implantation. 4. No relation between CMT and ETDRS 5. IBM Software Group SPSS 20, Chicago, IL; 60606 USA 6. Clinical and demographic features are included in the table. 7. The result is arranged.
Optional/General comments	Some typographical errors should be corrected.	Thank you so much

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	