



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_41843
Title of the Manuscript:	The Outcomes of Primary Retinal Detachment Surgery with 23-gauge Vitrectomy in Patients without Severe Proliferative Vitreoretinopathy: A Single Surgeon Case Series
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There is a contradiction in the materiel and methods chapter. It's confusing if patients included in the study were operated only one time or re-operated? The criteria of functional success of the surgery, why did authors choose the visual acuity of 0.1 logMar? Reference ? Why did the visual acuity was tested at 4 meters (and not 5 meters)? Did the 23 gauge vitrectomy was the only choice to treat all patients having a retinal detachment without PVR, whatever the clinical presentation of the retinal detachment? Ethical Issues If all patients were operated by vitrectomy, even if there was a superior retinal detachment with only one tear, it would be an ethical problem, because in this cases the sclera buckling is more suitable and leads to less complications, mainly in young patients.	-There are two terms for retinal detachment studies which are single surgery success rate and final success rate. And those terms were also used in this study. -The reference was already added in the methodology as reference 10. We measured the visual acuity from 4 meters because the setting was 4 meters for the used projection chart. -Of course the surgeon performed pneumatic retinopexy and scleral buckling for some other cases; however, only the patients who underwent vitrectomy was included in the study. -The patients with an indication of vitrectomy was included in this study because this is a case series regarding the outcomes of vitrectomy in primary retinal detachment.
Minor REVISION comments	There is many abbreviations not cited fully for the first time : RRD in the abstract, RD, CRT In the first line of the results chapter, replace "man" by "mean" Why did authors used the term of "results and discussion" in the same chapter while there is a discussion chapter separately?	-The abbreviations were checked and corrected.
Optional/General comments	This study evaluated the results of 23 gauge vitrectomy in retinal detachment without severe PVR. It would be more interesting to compare this type of surgery to other techniques indicated in this case.	-Yes you are right, it should be better.