



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_41495
Title of the Manuscript:	Repair of severe melting ulcers with high intensity UV-pen (18 to 60 mW/cm ²) corneal cross-linking (CXL) and amniotic membrane graft
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) The article is not well presented. It should be properly divided into sections. Each section should be properly written using templates based on other similarly published articles. 2) Discussion part is particularly not well organized. The authors should explain how the method is different from previously reported procedures and why this procedure was chosen. Nothing is mentioned about the amniotic membrane implantation. Each step of the procedure should be properly discussed and compared with previously published articles.	1) much more information and refs were added. However, we felt that our article becomes more efforts on "review" rather than a case report (which we preferred). 2) Please refer to revised text in Discussions. (marked in red).
Minor REVISION comments	Kindly re-assess the discussion part.	Ok.
Optional/General comments		