



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_40561
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Central Corneal Thickness using Anterior Segment Optical Coherence Tomography and Ultrasound Pachymetry in patients with open angle glaucoma.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	How were the patients selected? All patients that met the inclusion criteria or sample size?	The patients were randomly selected. Exclusion criteria included patients with any corneal pathology, pre-existing ocular glaucoma medication for more than a month before the study, previous surgical intervention and any type of glaucoma other than OAG.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good paper	Thank you!!