



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_40354
Title of the Manuscript:	PRECISION OF ORTHOKERATOLOGY
Type of the Article	Short Communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The fitting methods should be described I more details 2. Optical properties of the contact lenses used should be mentioned 3. Age?? in patients selection 4. Advantages of orthokeratology should be mentioned 5. Complications should be mentioned 6. References were not cited within the manuscript 7. Please reformat the references according to journal guidelines Ethical issues: Yes: written and oral consent and declaration about the possible complications	RESPECTED SIR, THANK YOU FOR EVALUATING OUR PAPER. MODIFICATIONS ARE MADE AS PER SUGGESTIONS
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Deficient manuscript with lack of much knowledge and many language and grammatical errors	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>