



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_40353
Title of the Manuscript:	SUMMARY OF AMBLYOPIA- EASY TO UNDERSTAND
Type of the Article	Short Communication

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Since the definition of amblyopia is belonging to normal anatomical structures (i.e., without pathological abnormalities) of the eye, mentioning corneal opacity in line 18 is disturbing.</p> <p>Besides, any congenital transparency problem (cornea, crystalline lens, vitreous body) can lead to decreased vision later. Are these called amblyopia? A clarification is required.</p> <p>Is there any visual acuity limit to give the diagnosis of amblyopia (i.e., for example 80% visual acuity is enough to this)?</p> <p>Surgical treatment in cases of strabismus is not mentioned as an important option.</p>	<p>RESPECTED SIR,</p> <p>THANK YOU FOR REVIEWING OUR PAPER.</p> <p>CORRECTIONS ARE MADE AS PER SUGGESTIONS.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		