



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_39922
Title of the Manuscript:	A prospective pilot study comparing the retinal layer effects of two techniques for Nd: Yag laser posterior capsulotomy
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please clarify the exact setting of the study in details	Dear reviewer, Thank you for your kindness and helpful comments. Study Design and Setting of the study explained in details in the abstract.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Introduction is not sufficient. 2- Please add more details regarding the assessment of visual acuity, IOP. 3- Please add a table summarize the demographic and clinical characteristic of the studied patients. 4- Please add a figures in result section. 5- Discussion is not sufficient. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1- "Introduction" section was re-arranged. 2- Details regarding the assessment of visual acuity and IOP was added in "Material and Methods" section. 3- Demographical data and clinical characteristic of the studied patients were summarized and added as Table 1 and 2 in "Results" section. 4- We added new 2 table in result section. 5- "Discussion" section was re-arranged.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some typographical errors should be corrected	The manuscript was double-checked and typographical errors were corrected.