



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_39922
Title of the Manuscript:	A prospective pilot study comparing the retinal layer effects of two techniques for Nd: Yag laser posterior capsulotomy
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the whole manuscript, mostly in the Results section, mounth must be changed to month. The References section must be formatted uniformly as for page number, for example 32-5 not 32-35.	Dear reviewer, Thank you for your kindness and helpful comments. Whole manuscript was double-checked and spelling mistakes were corrected. The References section was revised uniformly.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	None.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	None.	