



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_39467
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on Visual Outcome after Intraocular Lens Implantation (IOL) in Children with Traumatic Cataract"
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	SRK II formula is no longer acceptable for intraocular lens calculation. Why did you use it? Introduction, first sentence needs a reference. Operative procedure: trimming of eye lashes is not proved to be have any effect. Why did you perform this? How did you perform Nd-YAG capsulotomy in a child? A lot of tables are repetitions of data in the text, therefore need to be deleted.	SRK-2 and IOL master still the most acceptable worldwide due to availability .I added reference for that. In our set up ,we are routinely doing trimming of eye lashes pre op.This is the institutional protocol. We performed yag cap only for co operative patients , for rest we left for surgical membranectomy. Tables were deleted accordingly.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		