



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_39467
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on Visual Outcome after Intraocular Lens Implantation (IOL) in Children with Traumatic Cataract"
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- The references are not cited in text of manuscript. They are numerical in the reference part but with author-year mode in the discussion. No mention of references in the introduction. 2- The preoperative visual acuity in very young children, how was it measured 3- Some patients were subjected to phaco while others to ECCE. What were the criteria for both? 4- What is the type of IOL used and was it different in different maneuvers 5- There was no talk about rehabilitation as amblyopia therapy. 6- There was no mention of any associated complications due to trauma. 7- If there was a corneal wound and it was repaired what was the management 8- There was no mention of the aim of refraction post surgery? Emmetrope or what?	1. References are modified as suggested. 2. We use snellens and visual acuity cards. 3. 3. Surgical procedure depends upon the status of capsule and lens zonules. 4. We use PMMA. 5. Rehabilitation part not included in the study. 6. We studied only intraocular lens implantation for unilateral cataract for trauma. 7. We selected cases as primary IOL implantation only. 8. Aim is for emmetropia.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>