



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_38436
Title of the Manuscript:	Computer Vision Syndrome Survey among the Medical Students in Sohag University Hospital, Egypt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The aim, methods and results are very clear and straight in this manuscript.</p> <p>It is not clear whether the Survey Questionnaire is an own-made one created for just the present study or an accepted, approved one.</p> <p>Only one comment: although the description and assessment of prevention possibilities of CVS was not an aim of this paper, I think, some short sentences about this would improve the paper further.</p>	<p>It has been a pleasure writing to you this feedback.</p> <p>All your comments have been taken into consideration as follows:</p> <p>1- The survey questionnaire is an own-made one created by the authors themselves who designed it especially for this study.</p> <p>2- A collective paragraph was added in introduction describing in short the possible methods of treatment and prevention of CVS.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		