



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_38473
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effects of Post Cataract topical treatment on Anterior Segment Punctal Tomography: an OCT guided comparative study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Page 2, line 49: The sentence "Topical steroids are established.." cites a paper written about nepafenac. Since nepafenac is NSAID, the phrasing is disturbing. Page 3, line 64: if dry eye patients were also excluded, please mention it. Is there any known diurnal variation of the punctal parameters? Did you perform the measurements in the same day-period? In Table 1, the SD-s are much higher than the mean values, making very hard to assess the data. How can you explain these numbers? Do you have idea to give any clinical importance of the present findings?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabor Nemeth
Department, University & Country	Department of Ophthalmology, Borsod-Abaúj-Zemplén County Central Hospital and University Teaching Hospital, Hungary