



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_37497
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary angle closure as a presenting feature of Retinitis pigmentosa : A rare case report
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I have the following concerns about the manuscript titled: "Primary angle closure as a presenting feature of Retinitis pigmentosa : A rare case report".</p> <p>In Introduction section it is worth mention about genetics of RP.</p> <p>1. In Case Presentation section there are some missing information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) What was the CCT and how it was measured? b) Why did You start treatment with intravenous injection of Mannitol? c) What gonioscopes were used to perform gonioscopy? d) Why did You perform the laser iridotomy at 3 and 9 hours? What about diplopia? e) Why did not You perform manual perimetry? f) Did You use any special devices during the cataract surgery due to the zonular instability, ect. ? 	
Minor REVISION comments	Please correct the editorial errors, for example errors Goldmann, Van Herick, Schwalbe, ect.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dominika Wróbel-Dudzińska
Department, University & Country	Department of Diagnostics and Microsurgery of Glaucoma, Medical University, Poland