



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_37046
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVENTION OF AMBLYOPIA IN STUDENTS OF MUNICIPAL PUBLIC SCHOOLS IN TERESÓPOLIS - BRAZIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	n/a	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>-in abstract conclusion, suggest using the word "inexpensive" instead of "cheap" when describing the screening test</p> <p>-in keywords section, not sure if "visual field" and "diagnosis" are the best keywords to use for this article; maybe better alternative words can be listed.</p> <p>-line 15, I suggest changing latter portion of sentence to read "...there are some conditions that when not diagnosed and treated in a timely manner can lead to great and irreversible visual incapacity."</p> <p>-line 18/19: this last sentence of paragraph is an incomplete sentence so either needs to be connected to the previous sentence by a ";," or "or;" or made into a complete sentence.</p> <p>-line 26: suggest changing "present" to "have"</p> <p>-line 30: would change latter part of sentence to "It is a preventable cause of visual impairment if treated in a timely manner during childhood."</p> <p>-line 34: would suggest changing to "...it presents with the single symptom of having reduced vision."</p> <p>-line 35: would suggest changing to "However, as children with amblyopia rarely complain about visual disturbance, it is..."</p> <p>-line 38: do the authors really mean consult with an ophthalmologist, or should it be recommended that these children have a screening exam first. We do not typically have every 3 y/o consult an ophthalmologist, but they all should have some type of screening assessment of the visual system done around this age.</p> <p>-line 45: would suggest says "severely impaired" instead of "useless"</p> <p>-methodology: should list the exclusion criteria since the authors reference this</p> <p>-line 75: is there a correlation to the US/English equivalent of "below 1.0 in the Snellen Chart" that the authors can mention</p> <p>-line 93: does the "16 (15.0%)" refer to the 8 year olds?; if so should add in the age so it is listed as the other ages are already listed</p> <p>-line 94: if give the number &amp; percentage for boys, the number &amp; percentage for girls should be given as well</p> <p>-line 100: would suggest changing to "...only 12 of them (33.3%) appropriately followed up with an ophthalmologist."</p> <p>-line 99/100; how do the authors know only 12 of them "attended the ophthalmologist"?</p> <p>-for figure 1: are any of these numbers statistically significant?; if not, I am not sure what benefit the figure has</p> <p>-line 150: suggest saying "inexpensive" instead of "cheap"</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	n/a	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Natario Couser</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ophthalmology, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine, USA</b>