



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_35617
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute unilateral intraocular pressure (IOP) elevation in the emergency room (ER): A case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The concavity of iris shown in the UBM picture is not what is typically seen in PDS. The authors should include a post YAG UBM to demonstrate the flattening of iris if at all there was any. 2. CMV involvement in PSS is increasingly evident now. Anterior chamber tap for CMV PCR could have been performed and needs to be discussed in the manuscript. 3. The authors should include the actual refraction of the patient. 4. The percentage strength of the topical medications used along with the manufacturing company should be added.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Change 'oh' to 'of' (Page 2, Line 8) 2. The sentence "Later in consultation she performed an UBM" (Page 2, Presentation of Case, Line 16-17)	
Optional/General comments	1. The authors have presented a real-life diagnostic dilemma and need to be appreciated for the same.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paaraj Dave
Department, University & Country	Glaucoma Services, Dave Eye Clinic and Dr TV Patel Eye Institute Consultant and Head Gujarat, India