



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_34947
Title of the Manuscript:	Is the 'visualFields easy' application a useful tool to identify visual field defects in patients who have suffered a stroke?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is well written and is of interest. Some technical points however, need to be clarified as required in the manuscript, as the researcher needs to demonstrate real understanding of the field testing methodology and convey it to the reader. The new app can help as a bedside tool and also to bridge the gap until the patient is capable of performing a proper field test, however I cannot see it "replacing" the classic field testing.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Few spelling and style amendments (see document).	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Very nice and original research. Good effort done.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Iman Magdy Eissa</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Cairo University, Egypt</i>