SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_OR_33199**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Case report of Terson's syndrome suggesting pathway of subarachnoid hemorrhaged blood into eye**
Type of the Article	**Case Study**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	How did you decide the 10 days between the two vitrectomy surgeries, i.e. why was it 10 days? After reaching the 20/20 visual acuity or was there any other indication? Were these performed under local anaesthesia?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Clear demonstration of a possible new pathways for the blood entering the eye in Terson's syndrome.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Gabor Nemeth***
Department, University & Country	***Borsod-Abaúj-Zemplén Country Hospital and University Teaching Hospital, Miskolc, Hungary***