



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_30848
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Congenital Colour Vision Deficiency in Nigerians Living in Ugep, Cross River State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.


To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>-Which referencing method did u use? It is not arranged chronologically,(the first citation in text should be 1, subsequent 2, 3,.....)</p> <p>-In your paper you have used colour vision defects, colour vision deficiency, defective colour vision interchangeably. There's need to be consistent for clarity.</p> <p>- There's need to state the purpose of your study to conclude the introduction</p> <p>Aim of the study</p> <p>-Line 61 is vague, rephrase or delete it</p> <p>-Line 63 you may consider (i) Verify whether total colour blindness is a rare condition</p> <p>Sampling technique</p> <p>-It requires to be strengthened to come out clearly.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Introduction</p> <p>Line 26- instead of colour vision defective individuals, can it be individuals with defective colour vision?</p> <p>Line 36-  will affect both eyes. What are you referring to?</p> <p>Line 40- starting from .. Found in the macula,.....upto</p> <p>Line 46. Let it come out more clearly, rephrase.</p> <p>Line 56- Ishihara (2008).- cite as per journal</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>guidelines</p> <p>2.3The Sample Line 80- fromBill Godden 80 (Lord, 2010),...this is not clear, cite correctly</p> <p>Results -You should give a brief summary after every Table is presented.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Anonymous</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Special Needs Education, School of Education, Pwani University, Kenya</i>