



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_38051
Title of the Manuscript:	Incidence and risk factors study of retinopathy of prematurity in neonatal care unit
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors did rather a good job presenting this article about risk factors of ROP in Indian neonatal care unit. The English fluency just needs to be checked somewhere. Personally, I really appreciate the table of risk factors. Good images. Please, pay more attention to statistical analysis and be more rigorous. Improve your work on bibliography, because that is not sufficient.	Dear sir/madam, Thank you for reviewing the article. We have revised the title of the article and corrected all the spelling and grammatical errors. We have given explanation for all abbreviations used in the article and mentioned all the key words used in this article. Some more references are added.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		