



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_37851
Title of the Manuscript:	Detecting Visuoceptive Defects in Adult Disabled Readers with the TETRA Analyzer™. Pathological Sample and Comparison with Normal Readers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Very nice and original paper. Do the authors have any financial interests in the product mentioned in the paper? in page 9, line 255, there is 2 periods.	As reported during the submission process of the paper: The TETRA Analyzer™ is a trademark registered by author CA who receives paid consultancy for its development. Authors VS and LC have declared that no competing interests exist. Corrected