



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_37497
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary angle closure as a presenting feature of Retinitis pigmentosa : A rare case report
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Introduction, first sentences need for a reference.</p> <p>Discussion, first sentence: reference is missing.</p> <p>Line 44, "van herick" must be written with capitals.</p> <p>Was the cataract surgery phacoemulsification and without any complication, like zonular problem? It must be added.</p>	<p>Necessary corrections for references have been done.</p> <p>In line 44 correction has been done</p> <p>Capsular tension ring was used during phacoemulsification and the surgery was uneventful.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is logical, well-written case report, worthy for publication after minor revision.	