



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_37046
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVENTION OF AMBLYOPIA IN STUDENTS OF MUNICIPAL PUBLIC SCHOOLS IN TERESÓPOLIS - BRAZIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	n/a	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>-in abstract conclusion, suggest using the word "inexpensive" instead of "cheap" when describing the screening test</p> <p>-in keywords section, not sure if "visual field" and "diagnosis" are the best keywords to use for this article; maybe better alternative words can be listed.</p> <p>-line 15, I suggest changing latter portion of sentence to read "...there are some conditions that when not diagnosed and treated in a timely manner can lead to great and irreversible visual incapacity."</p> <p>-line 18/19: this last sentence of paragraph is an incomplete sentence so either needs to be connected to the previous sentence by a "; ' or ";," or made into a complete sentence.</p> <p>-line 26: suggest changing "present" to "have"</p> <p>-line 30: would change latter part of sentence to "It is a preventable cause of visual impairment if treated in a timely manner during childhood."</p> <p>-line 34: would suggest changing to "...it presents with the single symptom of having reduced vision."</p> <p>-line 35: would suggest changing to "However, as children with amblyopia rarely complain about visual disturbance, it is..."</p> <p>-line 38: do the authors really mean consult with an ophthalmologist, or should it be recommended that these children have a screening exam first. We do not typically have every 3 y/o consult an ophthalmologist, but they all should have some type of screening assessment of the visual system done around this age.</p> <p>-line 45: would suggest says "severely impaired" instead of "useless"</p> <p>-methodology: should list the exclusion criteria since the authors reference this</p> <p>-line 75: is there a correlation to the US/English equivalent of "below 1.0 in the Snellen Chart" that the authors can mention</p> <p>-line 93: does the "16 (15.0%)" refer to the 8 year olds?; if so should add in the age so it is listed as the other ages are already listed</p> <p>-line 94: if give the number & percentage for boys, the number & percentage for girls should be given as well</p> <p>-line 100: would suggest changing to "...only 12 of them (33.3%) appropriately followed up with an ophthalmologist."</p> <p>-line 99/100; how do the authors know only 12 of them "attended the ophthalmologist"?</p> <p>-for figure 1: are any of these numbers statistically significant?; if not, I am not sure what benefit the figure has</p> <p>-line 150: suggest saying "inexpensive" instead of "cheap"</p>	<p>The authors would like to thank the reviewer for helping us to improve our manuscript</p> <p>- the authors have substituted "cheap" for "inexpensive", as requested.</p> <p>- the authors have excluded both keywords and added "Snellen Chart"</p> <p>- the authors have modified line 15, as requested</p> <p>- the authors have connected the last sentence of the paragraph to the previous one</p> <p>- the authors have substituted "present" with "have"</p> <p>- the authors have modified the last part of the sentence in line 30, as requested</p> <p>- the authors have modified the last part of the sentence in line 34, as requested</p> <p>- the authors have modified the sentence in line 35, as requested</p> <p>- the authors have meant a screen exam and we modified the text to give the correct notion to the readers</p> <p>- the authors have modified the sentence in line 45, as requested</p> <p>- the authors have pointed out the exclusion criterion on the Methodology, as requested</p> <p>- line 75: The authors have provided the conversion for inches</p> <p>- line 93: Exactly! This part was missing and is currently inserted in the reviewed version</p> <p>- line 94: the number of girls was inserted</p> <p>- line 100: the authors have modified the sentence as requested</p> <p>- line 99/100: the children were referred to a single Hospital and the authors had checked its patient list database. This information was added in the Methodology (line 63)</p> <p>- figure 1: was excluded, as requested</p> <p>- line 150: the authors have substituted the terms, as requested</p>
Optional/General comments	n/a	