



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_35985
Title of the Manuscript:	Mechanical Pupil Dilatation using Oasis ring versus non-mechanical pupil dilatation for Phacoemulsification in eyes with narrow pupil
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Type of article: is it surely a case study? Preferably a case series. Introduction, 7th paragraph, last sentence: since Oasis iris expander was introduced in 2013 and Malyugin ring in 2007, these references are not appropriate due to the publication year. Introduction, last sentence: it must be emphasized that sphincterotomy is not an option in today's modern cataract surgery technique. How did you measure the size of the pupil? The method must be clarified. The main outcome measures, such as the shape, the position, and the reactivity to light were subjectively assessed, i.e., you did not measure these parameters with any device, as I think. It must be clarified in the methods section. Since, this is the first report regarding Oasis ring in the practice, a native picture would be interesting about this ring, not only the intraoperative one. English must be improved significantly throughout the manuscript. A lot of typos disturb the reading (for example, sphinctrotomies, Malyugen, Catract, etc.)	- Changes were done - English editing was revised as much as possible
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		