



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_33199
Title of the Manuscript:	Case report of Terson's syndrome suggesting pathway of subarachnoid hemorrhaged blood into eye
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	How did you decide the 10 days between the two vitrectomy surgeries, i.e. why was it 10 days? After reaching the 20/20 visual acuity or was there any other indication? Were these performed under local anaesthesia?	The vitrectomy surgeries were performed under general anaesthesia. When the patient visited our department, his mental condition was extremely unstable. We treated his right eye first for earlier and effective visual improvement. After the surgery, his both visual acuity and mental condition improved significantly. Since there was a total retinal detachment in the left eye, we decided to treat it as soon as possible. Definitely, there were other indications.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Clear demonstration of a possible new pathways for the blood entering the eye in Terson's syndrome.	Thank you for understanding our new hypothesis.