



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_32631
Title of the Manuscript:	Traumatic Cataract: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Traumatic Cataract : A Review is a nicely written article. But, this article is not providing any new information to readers. Moreover, this article would have any meaning if this was substantiated with some data. But, this has not been done. Nor, any metanalysis has been presented.	This article explains the basis mechanism, types and surgical management . I added surgical management more elaborately.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	To be rewritten and should be backed with some data.	