



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_31121
Title of the Manuscript:	CENTRAL CORNEA THICKNESS IN GLAUCOMA AND NON GLAUCOMA AFRICAN POPULATION.
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The whole manuscript must be formatted as justified. In the References section the page number must be ordered uniformly (for instance 120-5). In the text of the manuscript , in the References and, of course, in the Discussion section the paper entitled "Central corneal thickness in the European Glaucoma Prevention Study" published in Ophthalmology 2007, March issue is not mentioned. Please cite it and discuss it in your manuscript.	THE MANUSCRIPT HAS BEEN FORMATTED AND JUSTIFIED AS SUGGESTED. THE PAGE NUMBER HAS BEEN ORDERED UNIFORMLY. THE MENTIONED PAPER HAS NOW BEEN CITED AND DISCUSSED APPROPRIATELY IN THE MANUSCRIPT.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	None.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	None.	