

### **Editor's Comments:**

The paper is better but needs some corrections including:

Comments

The following points need revision

#### **Comment 1**

Paragraph 1 in introduction needs a reference- references included

#### **Response:**

1. Kim SW, Kang GW. Diabetes mellitus as a risk factor for glaucoma outcome in Korea. Acta Ophthalmol 2016 Dec 30. doi: 10.1111/aos.13345.
2. Choi WJ, Kim JW, Tchah H, Jin YH, Kim YJ. Non-contact tonometry: an ideal method for mass screening. Korean J Ophthalmol. 1990 Jun;4(1):30-3. DOI: 10.3341/kjo.1990.4.1.30

#### **Comment 2**

Last paragraph in introduction should be corrected to :

FROM : Present study ~~investigated reliability and validity of~~ ~~was carried out to evaluate~~ ICare™ tonometer ~~reliability and validity of IOP measurements~~ in diabetic patients and normal subjects in addition to evaluation of central and peripheral corneal pressures.

TO: The present study ~~investigated reliability and validity of~~ ~~was carried out to evaluate~~ ICare™ tonometer ~~and validity of IOP measurements~~ in diabetic patients and normal subjects in addition to evaluation of central and peripheral corneal pressures.

#### **Response:**

The present study investigated reliability and validity of ICare™ tonometer in diabetic patients and normal subjects in additions to evaluation of central and peripheral corneal pressures.

#### **Page number:2**

**Line number: 27-28**

#### **Comment 3**

Line 43: this paragraph needs revision: ~~Corneal~~ ~~Pre-existing corneal~~ pathological lesions, previous ocular inflammations and surgeries, and diabetic retinopathy changes were excluded that might interfere with pressure measurements ~~plausibly due~~ ~~probably~~ to generalized microangiopathy of basement membrane throughout the body including angle structures and retinal vasculature.

#### **Response:**

**Corneal pathological lesions,** previous ocular inflammations and surgeries, and diabetic retinopathy changes were excluded that might interfere with pressure measurements.

**Page number:3**

**Line: 43-44**

**Comment 4**

Line 60 : this paragraph needs revision: With the device held perpendicularly ~~we took at most care~~ **was taken** to click when the ICare™ probe ~~was~~ at central cornea and for peripheral corneal pressures just within temporal/nasal limbus. <sup>4</sup>

**Response:**

With the device held perpendicularly, **care was taken to click** the ICare™ when the probe was at central cornea and just within temporal/nasal limbus for measuring central and peripheral corneal pressures respectively. <sup>6</sup>

**Page number:3**

**Line number: 59-61**

**Comment 5**

Figures should be ordered as their order as they first appear in text

**Response:** Done

**Author's feedback:**

Despite very efficient review system of naïve research articles published in this journal, unknown is the reason of it appearing under predatory list of journals. We wish this renowned and popular journal to be cited in pub med data base system in future thus excluding it from the so called predatory journals' List.