



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_30291
Title of the Manuscript:	ICare Tonometry: Reliability and Validity in Diabetic Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is a very well planned and well executed prospective study.	Thanking so very much sir/madam
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 44,45 requires an explanation that why in glaucoma cases we suspect rise in IOP when we are excluding the cases of proliferative diabetic retinopathy.	Suspicious arousal of IOP rise in diabetics facilitates early glaucoma diagnosis because diabetes mellitus is a risk factor for glaucoma development in addition to slightly pachymetric increase in IOP probably due to diabetic status leading to falsely high readings which has to be confirmed by phasing and visual field recordings. Page number: 2 and 3 Line number: 47 to 50
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall a good study	Thanking so very much sir/madam