



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_28850
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY ON AMSLER'S GRID IN ACQUIRED MACULAR DISORDERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In the study there is a curious high proportion of patients with different diagnoses of macular pathology that differ a bit from the normal population in this range of age, probably balanced in favour of CSC' diagnosis and less in favour of age-related macular degeneration. I would like to ask to the author / authors if they could explain better in the methods which stages of ARMD have been included, and if patients were included only by symptoms (that is to say, advanced stages). It would be nice to discuss the proportion of these diagnosis comparing it with the normal population also in the discussion.	
Optional/General comments	The study was well performed and according scientific norms	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Monica Asencio-Duran
Department, University & Country	Ophthalmology Department, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain.