



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_27835
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Optical Coherence Tomography In Macular Disorders
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	ABSTRACT Should contain the summary of the whole paper and not the introductory part only. This should be rewritten. INTRODUCTION Line 40: "oct" should be in capital letters as OCT. LITERATURE <i>References in the text should be quoted as given in the instructions to authors. This needs correction throughout the paper. The serial number of references should be corrected throughout the text of the paper.</i> For anatomy of macula, reference should be written. Lines 54 to 60: should be written as sentence form and not as power point presentation. Lines 83 to 88: should be written as sentence form and not as power point presentation. Line 89: Author name should be quoted correctly as written in the reference. This sentence should be rewritten to be grammatically correct. Line 100: Author name should be quoted correctly as written in the reference.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 109: what does superscript number 2 indicate in this sentence? Lines 114 to 119: should be written as sentence form and not as power point presentation. Line 121: reference number should be corrected as 6 because after 4,5 the serial number is 6, and not 7. Line 122 to 126: should be written as sentence form and not as power point presentation. Lines 127, 129, 131 : whenever the abbreviation is written, one has to write its full form and then put the abbreviation within brackets. Lines 160 to 184: reference should be written for this. Line 171: spelling error of “shot” to be corrected. Lines 185 to 192: this paragraph is not related to the title of paper. Therefore, all the sentences should be removed. References should be written for the subheadings written: Age related macular degeneration (line 227), Retinal pigment epithelium detachment (line 257), macular hole (line 330), Heredo macular degeneration (line 342). The source of information written under these subheadings. CONCLUSION Should be written as continuous sentences and not as power point presentation format. The diseases where it is useful also should be mentioned.	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	REFERENCES Ref 2: place of publication should be written Ref 3: abbreviation of journal name should be written correctly Ref 4 and 5: the * mark before author's name should be removed. Ref 8: place of publication should be written Ref 10 and 11: the * mark before author's name should be removed. FIGURES Figure 1 : should be made into 2 figures Fig1: CME before and after treatment Fig2: CNVM before and after treatment The serial number of the rest of the figures should be changed into Fig 3,4,5.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Sagili Chandrasekhara Reddy</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Ophthalmology, University of Malaysia, Malaysia</i>