



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_26569
Title of the Manuscript:	Optic Disc Drusen, Important Detail In The Differential Diagnosis Of Optic Disc Edema
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	English of the text is extremely poor. Almost all text should be amended by a native speaker. The case is a very common case. Therefore the case should be shortened to become a picture essay by mostly utilizing the pictures .	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ali Osman Saatci</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Ophthalmology, Dokuz Eylul University, Izmir, Turkey</i>