SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_OR_26236**
Title of the Manuscript:	**To study the role of conservative management and to evaluate effectiveness of syringing and probing in children with congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction in a tertiary care institution of Kashmir**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**In the Abstract page 1 lines # 11 and 12 congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction is double. You can use an acronym, for instance.** **Page 2 line 62 were must be changed to where.** **Page 3 line 94Of must be changed to of.** **All the manuscript must be formatted in the same way as font.** **Page 5 line 118 rate... of is pleonastic.** **Page 5 line 135 drew inferences is double and must be corrected.** **Page 6 line 145 In view of above must be changed to Therefore...** **References must be formatted according to the Journal Author's guidelines.**	
Minor REVISION comments	None.	
Optional/General comments	None.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Italo Giuffre***
Department, University & Country	***Ophthalmology Department, Catholic University of Roma, Italy***