



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_26236
Title of the Manuscript:	To study the role of conservative management and to evaluate effectiveness of syringing and probing in children with congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction in a tertiary care institution of Kashmir
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Introduction: This is inadequate. Authors should do a literature review of the management of this condition Methodology: bias has been introduced in the choice of patients for which form of treatment. All patients should have had conservative management before the authors can say it is more effective in certain age groups. References: this should be done properly. One reference style should be adhered to throughout.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Authors should avoid sentences that are too long.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C.O Adeoti
Department, University & Country	Ophthalmology, Ladoke Akintola University of technology, Ogbomoso, Nigeria