SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_OR_23794**
Title of the Manuscript:	**OCULAR BIOMETRY USING COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY: IN JOS, NORTH CENTRAL NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Ethical issue: There is no mention of informed consents or other means of asking for a permission to use the retrospective data.**	
Minor REVISION comments	This is an interesting and well-discussed study that complements the current knowledge on ocular dimensions. The need of correction for refractive errors on the study subjects would be an interesting supplement to the data. It is, however, of advantage that the authors have taken the effect of refractive errors on the ocular size into account in the text. The language is fluent and needs only minor revision.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**University of Eastern Finland, Finland**