



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_22108
Title of the Manuscript:	Validity of Novel Microsoft excel Software for Calculating Surgically Induced Astigmatism
	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
- Results should be opened and interpreted instead of just reporting e.g. that linear relationship is shown in Fig. 2. - In the beginning of the discussion, there is a good chapter presenting the novelty value of the present method and how it differs from the other methods. However, there is a lot of material that belong merely to methods (e.g. lines 171-192) or results (e.g. lines 196-256) than to discussion. In the discussion, it is important to compare the results obtained using the novel method vs. those of the other methods, and report how similar/different the outcomes are. Clear reporting on the benefits and the limitations of the novel method is very good. - The language of this manuscript must be revised by a native English speaker. Especially verbs in perfect tense are incomplete, definite articles are missing, initial letters and commas need attention etc. - It is not appropriate to publish the names of the study subjects. - In order to prohibit mistakes in the application of the new method, it might be wise to lock the equations in the excel table when provided to other users.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of Eastern Finland, Finland