



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_30713
Title of the Manuscript:	Trends in refractive surgery in Yemen: Consecutive Review of 1933 Cases from a Single Center
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It lacks any data that may evaluate the work postoperatively at least. It is just recording the numbers through the refractive center. This manuscript should have been approached differently and presented differently like the percentage of rejection of LASIK or PRK and their causes and detect the causes of contraindications.	This point has been published in two previous published papers and have been put as references ... reference number 1 and reference number 4.