



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_28924
Title of the Manuscript:	Gaussian Optics Analysis for human Eyes with Application for Vision Corrections
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: "the rate function M_j ...". What does it mean? It is not explained in the abstract. The English needs a comprehensive proofreading.	Text added in Abstract : the rate function defined by the change of refractive error (De) due to the change of ocular components, or $M_j = dDe/dQ_j$, with $j=1$ to 6 for $Q_j = r_1, r_2, R_1, R_2, t, T$
Minor REVISION comments	Style of the reference list s not unified.	Fixed.
Optional/General comments	My only fear regarding this manuscript is that for most of the readers, the greater part of the paper will be not understood. For a narrow range of experts, the paper is probably very interesting.	This study will be interested by cornea specialist, working on refractive surgeries and corneal deceases, which might contribute over 30% of overall specialists. So it is NOT a narrow range, besides it is a critical basic research.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>