



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_28850
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY ON AMSLER'S GRID IN ACQUIRED MACULAR DISORDERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author(s) evaluated Amsler Grid pattern of patients with various acquired macular disorders PDE inhibitors and the subsequent visual disorders. This review is clearly written and reads well. I have some concerns. 1- The utility of Amsler grid card is already well-known by ophthalmologist. The contribution of this current study is not understood. 2- Your manuscript is seems to be a review article than a research article, because of it has a long introduction section about the kinds of Amsler's charts. Is there any association with the kinds of Amsler's grid charts and your study? Did you make any comparison between them? If you did not compare, please remove them.	This study basically enforces the role of amsler grid charting in palces where OCT and other higher end machines are not available. This amsler grid can be done in routine OPD procedures. 2.No , there is no association between the various charts, in fact we are not doing with all charts mentioned. So i removed them.

Minor	REVISION comments	Abstract 1- Line 1: The Word of “all” should be removed. 2- Upper cases should be corrected as lower cases in the abstract and the text (Central serous retinopathy, Clinically significant macular oedema, Age related macular degeneration, Cystoid macular oedema Macular hole) 3- You cannot start with the numbers (22) in the beginning of the sentence. You should write Twenty two.	1. Removed. 2. Corrected 3. Done
		Text 1- The following sentence is required a reference: "The third chart has red lines on a black back ground and is very helpful in diagnosis of optic nerve, chiasmal, or toxic amblyopia related problems." 2- You should write the references “11-14” instead of “11,12,13,14”.	1. I put the reference. 2. Done.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	3- Please clarify the meanings of CSR, ARMD, CME, CSME in the first mentioned place in the text. 4- Have the amsler grid tests were performed by the same ophthalmologist? 5- What is the meaning of "risk factor"which was mentioned in the Result section? Please clarify. 6- Do the authors sure about the rules of references writing? They should check out the rules and should correct.	3. Mentioned 4. No, but performed by the same 4 persons. 5. Risk factors are diabetes,pregnancy,hypertension and i mentioned this in the study.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This manuscript needs an English native speaker support.	