



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_27647
Title of the Manuscript:	SUBLUXATED LENSES AFTER TRIVIAL TRAUMA
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Mentioned within text Ethical Issues: In images, patient's identity should not be revealed Plagiarism Issue: In discussion, for reference 5, paraphrasing needs to be done. 73% Unique <u>In 1960, the first case of homocystinuria was reported-</u> <u>Plagiarized</u> <u>as having an unusual case of Marfan syndrome with</u> <u>renal- <u>Plagiarized</u></u> <u>mentally slow and thin and had fair hair, pale skin and-</u> <u>Plagiarized</u> <u>Homocystinura is caused by mutation in the CBS gene</u> <u>(21q22.3).- <u>Plagiarized</u></u> <u>of homocystenuria is often delayed. In a study which was-</u> <u>Plagiarized</u>	I respect the views of the review committee. Thanks for a precious guiding pearls. Note: I have changed the images by cropping. Now the identity is not revealed. I have changed the pictures by cropping so that identity is not revealed. The changed pictures have been included in the revised article. All needful have been corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Mentioned within text	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Mentioned within text	