



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_27553
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE ROLE OF CENTRAL CORNEAL THICKNESS (CCT) IN MEASURING THE INTRA-OCULAR PRESSURE (IOP) IN THE SCREENING FOR GLAUCOMA AMONGST PEOPLE RESIDING IN A SUB-HIMALAYAN TERRITORY OF NORTH INDIA
New title:	ASSESSMENT OF THE ROLE OF CENTRAL CORNEAL THICKNESS IN MEASURING THE INTRA-OCULAR PRESSURE IN THE SCREENING FOR GLAUCOMA AMONGST PEOPLE RESIDING IN A SUB-HIMALAYAN TERRITORY OF NORTH INDIA
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The manuscript still needs a lot of work. There are a lot of unnecessary spaces all over the manuscript. Instead of table 4 a bar graph would show the results better In results line 12 starting "In CCTs < 500" untill line 18, should be re-composed in one sentence in CCTs<560(there is no point taking 2 groups <500 then between 500-560 it is the same), please make short informative sentences. In discussion the second paragraph comparing IOP values in different studies can be put in one table instead of a sophisticated text. Page 6 paragraph 3 line 6 "with" instead of weith In table 1 they show statistically significant difference in mean IOP between right and left eye, they should sign this out in their discussion if this is true. The manuscript should be revised again. Regarding language level, I believe it should be improved.	Thank you very much for the valuable guidance. 1. Spaces have been corrected 2. It is not possible to make a bar chart of table 4. Which is table No.5 now as one more table has been added. 3. Results line 12 to line 18 modified. 4. Discussion in second para has been converted into table .3 5. Difference in mean IOP between between right and left eye has been added in the discussion. 6. I have tried to correct the language.