



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_27553
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE ROLE OF CENTRAL CORNEAL THICKNESS (CCT) IN MEASURING THE INTRA-OCULAR PRESSURE (IOP) IN THE SCREENING FOR GLAUCOMA AMONGST PEOPLE RESIDING IN A SUB-HIMALAYAN TERRITORY OF NORTH INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The authors revealed the corrected IOP levels of a population living in a territory of India. These kind studies are valuable, since they give detailed and important information about the territory. But there are some points that should be pointed out. a. The results section is complicated and it is virtually impossible to obtain a clear knowledge from this section, hence this section need to be revised and simplified again and clear and comprehensible result section should be written. Because most of the numerical results had already been mentioned in table section. b. Similarly in the discussion section there are a lot of numeric details for eg " In the present study the mean CCT values in the right eyes were 534.23±35.97,131 532.97±25.38, 530.68±32.90, and 523.81±36.50µm in age groups 30-40 years, 41-50 years, 51-60 years 132 and > 60 years respectively. And the mean CCT values in the left eyes were 35.77±34.67, 533.73±31.99,133 532.68±37.54 and 522.69±34.80µm in the age groups 30-40 years, 41-50 years, 51-60 years and > 60 134 years respectively" . This section need to be simplified and revised again by using a more academic language. c. The authors have compared the mean IOP,CCT in both the eyes distributed over different age and sex groups. But those were not discussed sufficiently, The authors either should remove these details or should discuss sufficiently in the discussion section.	ALL CORRECTIONS HAVE BEEN DONE



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	a. The authors should describe the statistical methods used in material methods section. b. The authors may explain the reason they used Perkins tonometer	NEED FULL DONE
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper needs an English editing from a native speaker.	ENGLISH EDITING DONE