



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_26848
Title of the Manuscript:	Bilateral combined retinal vascular occlusion following treated non-Hodgkins lymphoma
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	TITLE Suggestion to modify title as "Bilateral combined retinal vascular occlusion-- an ocular presentation in central nervous system re-relapse of non-Hodgkins lymphoma" This will emphasise the rare occurrence of this case. INTRODUCTION The ocular changes/manifestations reported in non-Hodgkins lymphoma from the text book and case reports from the literature search (anterior segment, fundus, orbit and cranial nerve palsies in the eye) have to be written in the introduction section giving the references. This will provide knowledge to the reader about ocular manifestations of this systemic disease. CASE PRESENTATION Line 40: the full form of abbreviation CHOP should be written and then put it within brackets. DISCUSSION Line 106: sentence should be rewritten to convey the meaning appropriately. Lines 139 to 141: journal reference should be written for this statement. Lines 145 and 146: text book or journal reference should be written for this statement.	Title Modified accordingly Introduction Ocular manifestations in NHL discussed with references Case presentation CHOP full form mentioned Discussion Sentence modified References added Text modified
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is a very interesting rare case worth publishing in the journal after answering all the comments of the reviewer.	